

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20370-5100

SMC

Docket No: 06807-00 30 November 2000





This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 30 November 2000. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by the Navy Personnel Command dated 6 October 2000, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. In view of the above, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official

records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER Executive Director

Enclosure



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS 2000 NAVY PENTAGON WASHINGTON, D.C. 20350-2000

IN REPLY REFER TO

5420

Ser N133D/ 00050

0 6 OCT 2000

MEMORANDUM FOR EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS

Via: Assistant for BCNR Matters (PERS-00XCB)

Subj: COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE CASE OF

MM1 USN

Ref:

(a) OPNAVINST 1220.1B, CHANGING OR REMOVING PRIMARY NAVY ENLISTED CLASSIFICATION (NEC) CODES FOR NUCLEAR PROPULSION PLANT OPERATORS

Encl: Docket Number 5180-00

- 1. Forwarded, recommending disapproval.
- 2. Per reference (a), a supervisory NEC is assigned when a Sailor meets the following requirements: Completed six years of service, qualified specific watches, all evaluation marks 3.0 or above, is a First Class Petty Officer (or Second Class Petty Officer and completed all advancement requirements) and has the recommendation of the Commanding Officer.
- 3. The most important requirement for award of the supervisory NEC is the recommendation from the member's Commanding Officer. Petty Officer this recommendation from the Commanding Officers of USS LINCOLN (CVN 72), USS ARKANSAS (CGN 41), nor from his present Commanding Officer.
- 4. Additionally,
- a. There is no evidence that he had completed all requirements for advancement on the date proposed,
- b. While he was a "qualified watchstander with six years Time In Service" for his last month on board USS LINCOLN (CVN 72), his transfer evaluation, which included that time period, had a 2.0 in Military Bearing due to a "Failed PRT," making him ineligible for awarding of the supervisory NEC, and
- c. Before he could requalify on USS ARKANSAS (CGN 41), he was found guilty of violation of Article 113-Misbehavior of a Sentinel or Lookout at Commanding Officer's Mast. Each evaluation he received on USS ARKANSAS (CGN 41) contained a mark of 2.0, further prohibiting the assignment of the requested NEC.

Nuclear Enlisted Program Manager